

CCB Meeting

Decision Summary

Thurs., December 11, 2003
 9:00 a.m.
 Rockledge 1
 Fifth Floor Conference Room

Requests Covered

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
01	Catherine Walker	GM	Defect Fix/ Requirements Change	Critical	CCB_12_11_2003_item1
02	Sara Silver	CGAP	Database Changes	High	CCB_12_11_2003_item2
03	Tracy Soto	Commons and IAR	Defect Fix	High	CCB_12_11_2003_item3
04	Mark Siegert	Commons	Requirements Change	High	CCB_12_11_2003_item4
05	Joe Ashcraft	IEdison II	New Requirement	High	CCB_12_11_2003_item5

Request & Decision Summary

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Catherine Walker	GM	Defect Fix/ Requirements Change	Critical	CCB_12_11_2003_item1
01	<p>Request: This is a two part request regarding the non-Fed share amount, addition is in red:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is currently a defect in the calculation of the total award amount in the post-wip portion of the 6:00 award bridge process that is causing the total award amount to be corrupted in the database. Currently SQAIB is forced to manually correct the data as its discovered. Since the award amount is already calculated in GM there is no need to recalculate this in the post wip process, therefore, this request is to apply a server side package fix to remove the calculation in the post wip and just pass in the already calculated award amount. The post-wip calculation is also called by the Create WIP function so it will be removed from there also. 2. Currently only certain awards are allowed to enter the non-Fed share amount in the GM module. Based on the IC and activity code, non-Fed share is available for initiatives that require a cost share from the grantee. This is becoming increasingly difficult to maintain since new initiatives requiring cost sharing are becoming more frequent and each time they do it means a change to the code to allow entry of the non-Fed share in GM. There have been two instances lately that have required SQAIB to enter non-Federal share dollars directly in the database because they are not editable in the GM application. One is the new G11 initiative at NICHD that requires cost sharing and another is the necessity to document Harvard's pay back to NIH using the non-Federal share field. In addition, once we bring other OPDIVs on board I'm sure they will need to use this field for some of their initiatives so maintenance will become even more burdensome. I've cleared with Joe Ellis and Marcia Hahn in the Policy Office that we should open this field to all awards and I'd like to have this fix made as soon as possible so that SQAIB will no longer have to expend their resources to enter this data manually. The fix is to modify the server side package that determines if non-Fed share is editable to always return TRUE since we no longer need to check for any type of award. This will only be a server side change with no deployment needed to GM application. This is a requirements change in reaction to a change in policy regarding how non-Fed share is used and the increasing number of initiatives that require cost sharing. <p>Decision: Approved</p>				

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Sara Silver	CGAP	Database Changes	High	CCB_12_11_2003_item2
02	<p>Request: To support the CGAP pilot, several database changes are needed in the production instance.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. com_dbms_appl_c_pkg.delete_appl_proc, the standard procedure for deleting applications, is used by CGAP to delete previous submissions when a corrective application is received (prior to R&R processing). Some of the newer tables are not currently covered by this procedure. CQ312 describes a change to the delete grant procedure so that it deletes the grant image as well. This change was not released to production during the deployment. 2. CQ14594 is a script to set the key types on doc_types_t correctly so that the delete grant procedure will delete all of the CGAP related attachments. 3. CQ14727 is the addition of an index on drr_appl_controls_t.process_stage_code to help the performance problems with CGAPRR. <p>Decision: Items 1–2 are Approved; Item 3 is Pending Approval</p> <p>Actions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (Sara Silver, Amir Venegas) Verify that no foreign key already exists for drr_appl_controls_t.process_stage_code. If no key exists, then Item 3 can be approved via email. If a key does exist, further investigation is necessary and Item 3 will need to be brought back to the CCB. 				
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
03	Tracy Soto	Commons and IAR	Defect Fix	High	CCB_12_11_2003_item3

Request:CQERA00014831

When users started changing passwords to conform to the new standards, a few encountered reporting problems. It was discovered that if a user has an Asterisk * as a special character in the first character of a password they are unable to run reports. To correct this, we need to remove the * as an allowable special character (need to modify verify_password_func so * will not be allowed).

CQERA00014847

Related changes are also needed in the password formulation instructions on 4 relevant screens :
 (changeDbPassword.jsp (used by the internal J2EE apps and eraservices for Change Password)
 Commons' Reset Password page
 Commons' Change Password page
 Commons' IAR Create Account page

The integration testers have completed their testing with all special characters in 1st thru 8th characters in their testing scenarios. The '*' is the only special character as 1st character that is causing problem with the password and reporting.

Since the above change requires a rebuild, there are some minor items that would also be beneficial to include in a patch/re-release. These are related to IAR account creation and not password policy.

CQERA00014846

There is confusion by reviewers during the create account process. Based on feedback, a few minor changes to button labels would alleviate much confusion. For example, the reviewer has to complete 3 screens for their account request to be submitted but since the button on the first screen is labeled Submit, they assume when they finish the first screen they are done. Buttons on the first 2 screens should be labeled as Continue instead of Submit or Complete. Also, there is an extra screen at the end of the process with only a button labeled Done, when clicked it displays help information for IAR and Commons and instructions on what to do when they get their account. This screen should be the last screen displayed and the screen requiring the user to click Done should be removed.

Decision: Approved

Actions:

1. (Brad Sachar) Provide any information from Oracle on alternative solutions to the password asterisk issues.
2. (Tim Twomey) Email decision whether or not we plan to go forward with proposed changes (CQERA00014831, CQERA00014847).

Notes:

- Testers will verify a sample of client/server and J2EE applications (i.e., not all applications will be retested).
- The additional items mentioned in CQERA00014846 will only go forward if the other items do.
- Design & Development – 2 hrs.

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
04	Mark Siegert	Commons	Requirements Change	High	CCB_12_11_2003_item4

	<p>Request: A hole was discovered in the Status Hit List in Commons that allows a PI with a type 5 SNAP grant to initiate an eSNAP even though his institution is not registered as a pilot eSNAP user. There is already at least one instance where a PI has initiated an eSNAP and yet her institution is not registered to submit eSNAPs. The development team has found a way to remove the eSNAP link from the Status Hit List for SNAP applications from institutions who are not part of the pilot. The next Commons patch release is currently in acceptance testing. This request is to re-release the coding to acceptance testing so that this change can go out with the next release.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Actions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (Tim Twomey) Work with Jim Cain to determine timing and make-up of next Commons release and relay decision to CCB team. <p>Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Design & Development – 2 hrs. Integration Test – 2 hrs. Acceptance Test – 2 hrs. 										
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 20%;">Submitted By</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Area Affected</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Type of Request</th> <th style="width: 20%;">User Impact</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Document Title</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Joe Ashcraft</td> <td>iEdison II</td> <td>New Requirement</td> <td>High</td> <td>CCB 12 11 2003 item5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title	Joe Ashcraft	iEdison II	New Requirement	High	CCB 12 11 2003 item5
Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title							
Joe Ashcraft	iEdison II	New Requirement	High	CCB 12 11 2003 item5							

05	<p>Request: This report is for dissemination of information to the public and to Congress.</p> <p>It will contain a list of commercial names of items where a bit has been activated stating that the information is to appear on the list.</p> <p>It has no customizability whatsoever; It requires no login; It is entirely freestanding and does not interact with anything else;</p> <p>This report was a dynamic page in Edison I. Unfortunately during the conversion this report was converted to a static page without the knowledge of the customer advocate. Recently, it was brought to the attention of the customer advocate, by a senator, that this must be fixed.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Action Items:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (Joe Ashcraft) Add this item to list in PVCS of December items for iEdison.
----	---