

CCB Meeting Decision Summary

Thurs., November 6, 2003
No physical meeting held
Approvals made via email

Request Covered					
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	User Impact	Document Title
01	Chanath Ratnanather	PGM	Defect Fix	Medium	ccb_11_6_2003_item1
02	Mike Goodman	CGAP eRA Exchange	Requirements Correction	High	ccb_11_6_2003_item2
03	Mike Goodman	CGAP eRA Exchange	Requirements Correction	High	ccb_11_6_2003_item3
04	Tracy Soto	Password Policy – ECB/IRDB	Requirements Change	High	ccb_11_6_2003_item4
05	Jim Tucker	CM Web – Release Notes	Defect Fix	High	ccb_11_6_2003_item5
06	Scarlett Gibb	Web QT – User Guides & Release Notes	Defect Fix	High	ccb_11_6_2003_item6

Request & Decision Summary					
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	User Impact	Document Title
01	Chanath Ratnanather	PGM	Defect Fix	Medium	ccb_10_30_2003_item1
	<p>Request: Testers discovered that when more than one PCC is used as a search criterion or filter criterion in PGM, the result set is incorrect.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>				
02	Mike Goodman	CGAP	Requirements Correction	High	ccb_11_6_2003_item2
	<p>Request: Several issues have arisen with the Grant Image, as it is currently being generated during the CGAP pilot. The following requirements clarifications and corrections are needed to rectify these problems. All of the following changes apply to the eRA CGAP Supplementary Specification, Grant Image section (section 11):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarified when modular/detailed budget sections are to be generated. These depend on the setting of the “modular budget” indicator that is submitted by the applicant. Without this clarification, we will get detailed budget pages in the grant image, when the submitter has not provided any. • Clarified that attachments which are not included in a submission do <i>not</i> require a placeholder page or bookmark. Without this clarification, we risk generating empty pages and bookmarks which will create SRA confusion, and unfairly inflate the page count of the submitted research plan. • Clarified the source biosketch training and education information. This is critical to getting the full degree history displayed in the grant image, as it has been provided in the Commons (not in the datastream). • Clarified special cases where boilerplate text is required to precede certain sections, even though the information for that section comes in on an attachment (and must therefore start on a new, separate page). • Added requirement that all structured, non-boilerplate text must be Arial 10pt. This is critical to having the generated grant images pass the readability requirements enforced by Receipt and Referral staff. <p>Decision: Approved</p>				
03	Mike Goodman	CGAP	Requirements Correction	High	ccb_11_6_2003_item3

	<p>Request: The validation of prior grant number must be changed to the following, to be more accommodating to PI's whose Commons accounts do not yet accurately reflect their prior involvement on a funded application:</p> <p>If PIChangeIndicator is not set, PI must be assigned to a grant with the same IC and serial number as the PriorGrantNumber. PI assignment is indicated when an application is found with the following characteristics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administering PHS Org Code = PriorGrantNumber.AdministeringOrganizationID • Serial Number = PriorGrantNumber.SerialNumber • The PI assigned to the grant maps to the same person profile that is associated with the submitted PI Commons Account (see KeyPerson. AccountIdentifier) <p>Decision: Approved</p>				
	Tracy Soto	Password Policy – ECB/IRDB	Requirements Change	High	ccb_11_6_2003_item4
04	<p>Request: We discussed and planned to implement an updated generate password function in the ecb user package with the Nov 7 deployment. This updated function would ensure that the random passwords generated in ECB are policy compliant (at least 8 chars, letters, numbers, spec char, etc.). The passwords being generated while compliant with the policy will be impossible to communicate to users. An example of a password generated is %x3\$>=P<1l. Since ECB users have no way to change their password, this will create too large a burden on council members as well as those supporting them. In addition, ECB isn't required to be in compliance with the eRA password policy until March 2004.</p> <p>This generate password function is also used by the User Admin module, no change is being requested for IMPP or User Admin. This function as modified for password policy should be deployed as planned in IMPP and used by User Admin.</p> <p>This request is to defer the change to gen_password_func in ecb_user_pkg in IRDB for Nov 7 deployment. For a future release and incorporation into ECB (IRDB), detailed requirements will be defined for how the random passwords should be generated.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>				
	Jim Tucker	CM Web – Release Notes	Defect Fix	High	ccb_11_6_2003_item5
05	<p>Request: The CM-Web application has a link to the release notes. But the link is pointing to the CM client server release notes and not the CM-Web release notes. To change the url, requires a new build of the software. The estimates for development is 15 minutes and 2 hours to test.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>				
06	Scarlett Gibb	Web QT – User Guides & Release Notes	Defect Fix	High	ccb_11_6_2003_item6

Request:

J2EE terminology:

1. Build - the process of compiling code and packaging the entire software package into a zip file - the actual package gets extension .ear (which is the same as .zip). This is done by Nancy Waters in our config mngmnt.
2. Deploy - the process of unpackaging ear file and placing all the files into relevant directories on the web server that is accessed by the end-users. This is Ops activity. They get the .ear file from Nancy and "Deploy" it.

Web QT placed User Guide and Release notes into the web qt file structure that gets packaged into .ear. So, when we move Web QT to Test or Production there is no confusion as to what's where - everything is in the .ear. This is a mistake - the correct approach should have been - link to central location for User Guide and Release Notes from web qt and don't worry about files at all.

Important:

Once Web QT is "deployed" - the file structure is sitting on the web server and files can be easily swapped WITHOUT building or deploying. This is the key - Ops **can** update the files with the newest versions, but they need approval to do so.

Need approval to update User Guide and Release Notes on the web server? There is no build of new software or deployment of any kind - just ops copying file into the directory.

Decision: Approved

Action: (Scarlett Gibb) Investigate how release notes and user guides are *deployed* and provide recommendation for standardization.