

CCB Meeting Decision Summary

Thurs., September 11, 2003
9:00 a.m.
Rockledge 1
OER Conf. Rm., Third Floor

Requests Covered

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Customer Impact	Document Title
01	Lhadj Moumena	Oiddev and later oidprd	Oid upgrade from 2.1.1 to 9.2.0.2	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item1
02	Amir Venegas	CSR and CM Roster reports on COMPRD	Environment Change	Low	CCB_09_11_2003_item2
03	Amir Venegas	IRDB "OLD" backup tables	Environment Change	Low	CCB_09_11_2003_item3
04	Sara Silver	CGAP Receipt and Referral	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item4
05	Tracy Soto	ICSTORE	Defect Fix	Medium	CCB_09_11_2003_item5
06	Lee Brewer	Development Cluster: All development, stage, training, test DB	Environment Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item6
07	Mosen Zibaii	PDF Generator	Environment Change	Low	CCB_09_11_2003_item7
08	Sara Silver	CGAP Receipt and Referral	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item8
09	Diana Dixon	Receipt & Referral	Deferral	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item9
10	Sara Silver	CGAP Receipt and Referral	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item10
11	Tracy Soto	IAR	Defect Fix	Medium/High	CCB_07_10_2003_item11
12	Steve Fitzgerald	ICO – Client Server (Forms) (Reports section)	Defect	High	CCB_07_10_2003_item12
13	Tracy Soto/Patti Gaines	Web QT, GCM, PGM	Defect Fix	Low	CCB_07_10_2003_item13
14	Mike Goodman	CRISP Rollover	Requirements Change	Medium	CCB_07_10_2003_item14
15	Dan Hall	JIT	Requirements Change	High	CCB_07_10_2003_item15
16	Mark Siegert	eSNAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_07_10_2003_item16
17	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Requirements Change	Medium	CCB_07_10_2003_item17
18	Jim Tucker/Patti Gaines	CRISP-on-the-Web	Requirements Change	Medium	CCB_09_11_2003_item18
19	Jim Tucker/Patti Gaines	CRISP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item19
20	Vanessa Grandy	IEdison-II	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item20
21	Vanessa Grandy	IEdison-II	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item21
22	Sara Silver	CGAP Receipt and Referral	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item22
23	Johnnie Pearson	WQT (Web Query Tool)	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item23
24	Carl Newcomer	IEdison-II	Database Change (Lock Mode)	Low	CCB_09_11_2003_item24
25	Steve Fitzgerald	ICO – Client Server (Forms) (Reports section)	Defect Fix	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item25

Request & Decision Summary

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Customer Impact	Document Title																					
01	Lhadj Moumena	Oiddev and later oidprd	Oid upgrade from 2.1.1 to 9.2.0.2	High	CCB_07_17_2003_item1																					
<p>Request: The following are the justifications of this OCR:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) There is a memory leak on both oiddev and oidprd. Oracle support recommends that we upgrade from oid 2.1.1 to oid 9.2 to solve the problem. 2) The oracle internet directory release 2.1.1 is not currently supported by oracle. 3) Password policy management utility exists in oid 9.2.0.2 but it does not exist in oid 2.1.1 <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Notes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Resource impact contained within Operations team. 2. Will be done off-hours during maintenance window. 																										
02	Amir Venegas	CSR and CM Roster reports on COMPRD	Environment Change	Low	CCB_07_10_2003_item2																					
<p>Request: CSR and CM Roster web reports have been moved off of COMPRD. The roster data replication to this database is no longer needed. The daily roster data replication to COMPRD should be decommissioned.</p> <p>Initially access to the roster data in COMPRD will be removed, the nightly process populating the schema in COMPRD will be stopped, and the data in COMPRD will be truncated as is done during the nightly load process. After one week has passed giving time to ensure all production reporting has not been effected, the nightly replication process will be permanently removed from the ORACLE crontab on the IMPACPRD1 server.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>																										
03	Amir Venegas	IRDB "OLD" backup tables	Environment Change	Low	CCB_07_10_2003_item3																					
<p>Request: There are tables in the IRDB databases that were created as backups for column modifications. These tables date from June of 2000 are now obsolete.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">TABLE_NAME</th> <th style="text-align: left;">BYTES</th> <th style="text-align: left;">CREATED</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>-----</td> <td>-----</td> <td>-----</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OLD_ABSTRACTS_T</td> <td>2,600,468,480</td> <td>25-JUN-00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PROJECT_YEARS_T_OLD</td> <td>621,527,040</td> <td>25-JUN-00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PROJECT_VERSIONS_T_OLD</td> <td>1,300,889,600</td> <td>25-JUN-00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OLD_SUMMARY_STMNTS_T</td> <td>10,605,723,648</td> <td>21-AUG-00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OLD_IRDB_EXT_ORG_DEPTS_T</td> <td>3,194,880</td> <td>19-JUL-02</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>OLD_SUMMARY_STMNTS_T contains the populated LONG data type column. This table has been saved to an export file and gzip'd.</p> <p>The removal of these files from all IRDB instances will provide more disk space (15.5 gigabytes per database) and also help reduce the amount of data being backed up on a weekly basis.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>						TABLE_NAME	BYTES	CREATED	-----	-----	-----	OLD_ABSTRACTS_T	2,600,468,480	25-JUN-00	PROJECT_YEARS_T_OLD	621,527,040	25-JUN-00	PROJECT_VERSIONS_T_OLD	1,300,889,600	25-JUN-00	OLD_SUMMARY_STMNTS_T	10,605,723,648	21-AUG-00	OLD_IRDB_EXT_ORG_DEPTS_T	3,194,880	19-JUL-02
TABLE_NAME	BYTES	CREATED																								
-----	-----	-----																								
OLD_ABSTRACTS_T	2,600,468,480	25-JUN-00																								
PROJECT_YEARS_T_OLD	621,527,040	25-JUN-00																								
PROJECT_VERSIONS_T_OLD	1,300,889,600	25-JUN-00																								
OLD_SUMMARY_STMNTS_T	10,605,723,648	21-AUG-00																								
OLD_IRDB_EXT_ORG_DEPTS_T	3,194,880	19-JUL-02																								

04	Sara Silver	CGAP Receipt and Referral	Requirements Change	High	CCB_07_10_2003_item4
	<p>Request: The eRA CGAP Supp Spec defines business rules for setting RFA/PA data when an application for an RFA/PA is received electronically. However, neither the CGAP application processor nor any of the use cases specifies when to set that data. Therefore, an alternate flow has been added to the Perform Receipt First Contact Use Case to set the data upon receipt of an electronic application in response to an RFA/PA.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>				
05	Tracy Soto	ICSTORE	Defect Fix	Medium	CCB_07_10_2003_item5
	<p>Request: If other degree text is more than 4 characters ICSTORE gives error (ORA-E-6502 PL/SQL: numeric or value error: character string buffer too small). Users are encountering this when they go from Peer Review Prepare Summary Statement Screen to ICSTORE. The error is raised and ICSTORE launches with no hitlist, the hitlist from the meeting is supposed to be displayed in ICSTORE.</p> <p>This fix would be server-side and would not require a patch release.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Notes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> This issue does not stop workflow. Approved for inclusion with Fall release (<i>not</i> as an out-of-cycle fix). 				
06	Lee Brewer	Development Cluster: All development, stage, training, test DB	Environment Change	High	CCB_07_10_2003_item6
	<p>Request: To mitigate current security and operating systems risks, we advise to patch the operating system from tru64 5.1a patch kit 3 to patch kit 4. The patches in patch kit 4 are to fix critical problems with the operating system, clustering, and security and not intended to provide new functionality. Some of the risks include</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Denial of Service through TruCluster server vulnerability o Potential data consistency issues with ADVFS and Direct I/O (Oracle 9i and 8i) o A domain panic is followed by an unaligned access system panic, while trying to insert a directory entry in an indexed directory. <p>Technical Summary:</p> <p>Development cluster will be patched with Patch Kit 3 using the ‘dupatch’ utility. The patching system is reversible in the event that the patches cause problems</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>				

07	Mosen Zibaii	PDF Generator	Environment Change	Low	CCB_07_10_2003_item7
<p>Request: Migration of nihimpacapps 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, impacreports and impacreports2 production PDF generators, and production report/mailer application servers from impacnt-domain to eRA OPS workgroup.</p> <p>Several servers housed at CSA/CIT are in the process of being decommissioned and consolidated to newer servers operated under OPS and USB management. These servers are currently IMPACNT-domain (NGIT) members, are dependent on this domain's primary and backup domain controllers, and are under the administrative control of the IMPACNT-domain administrators. These servers need to be joined to the eRA OPS workgroup like other existing eRA servers and removed from the IMPACNT-domain run by NGIT.</p> <p>These servers are the property of NIH.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>					
08	Sara Silver	CGAP Receipt and Referral	Requirements Change	High	CCB_07_10_2003_item8
<p>Request: In the CGAP Receipt and Referral Breakout module, users asked for the ability to assign an application to a Referral Officer or to an IRG cluster (for which a Referral Officer is responsible) for further referral. On the Enter Referral Data screen, they asked for the ability for a Referral Officer to reassign the application to a different Referral Officer or IRG. The use cases were written to reflect these requirements. However, the database structure does not currently support a direct one-to-one relationship between a Referral Officer and the IRG grouping for which he is responsible.</p> <p>In view of the shortage of resources for the October pilot, and because so few applications will be processed, it has been decided to relax this requirement for the pilot, and to require only that the user be able to assign (or reassign) an application to a designated Referral Officer. The user feels strongly that this functionality is necessary, so it will need to be added in a further release.</p> <p><u>Perform Breakout Use Case:</u> Changed the Brief Description, Basic Flow, Step 5, and post-condition 4.1 to remove reference to an IRG. Did not change the screen sample in the use case; this will be changed in the design review.</p> <p><u>Manage CGAP Referral Workload Use Case:</u> Changed Alternate Essential Flow 2.2.1.4, The Actor Has Entered An IRG As Part Of The Query Parameters, to refer to a Referral Officer instead of an IRG. Did not change the screen sample in the use case; this will be changed in the design review.</p> <p><u>Requirements for Changes to Existing Receipt and Referral Modules:</u> Changed item 10 to remove reference to an IRG.</p> <p><u>eRA CGAP Supplementary Specification:</u> Deleted requirement for IRG entry in Section 8.2.3, Perform Breakout Display and Entry Fields. Changed requirement in Section 8.3.1, Referral Officer Workload, Query Parameters, from IRG entry to Referral Officer entry. Changed Section 10.9, List of Values for Referral Officers and for IRG Names, to delete algorithm for IRG name.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>					

09	Diana Dixon	Receipt & Referral	Deferral	High	CCB_07_10_2003_item9
<p>Request: Defer the tuning of Receipt & Referral's Table Report #12 to the first post October 2003 deployment. The basis for the deferral is to allocate the time needed to fix three critical defects that were discovered shortly after July 2003 release.</p> <p>The approved fixes to be deployed in an interim release include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Automatic formatting of the Fax number (2) Synchronization of the tabbing order and data entry screens (3) Post "save" Address Validation <p>Decision: Approved</p>					
10	Sara Silver	CGAP Receipt and Referral	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_10_2003_item10
<p>Request: Based on requirements from the user, the use cases specified that upon return to a hit list from a detail screen, the hit list would be opened with the previously queried list of applications displayed, but that records that had been processed and saved in the detail screen would not be displayed. The displayed applications would then remain part of the reserved batch belonging to that user. This requirement is difficult to implement for the October release, and will be relaxed for the pilot. Changes will be made to use cases as follows:</p> <p><u>Manage Receipt Workload Use Case:</u> Change Alternate Essential Flow 2.2.1.7 (The Actor Has Returned From The First Contact Detail Screen To This Window) to indicate that the window should open with a blank hitlist. Added Alternate Essential Flow 2.2.1.8, The Actor Wants To View Applications In Other Actors' Batches, and added it as condition to post-condition 4.2.</p> <p><u>Display List of Applications For Breakout Use Case:</u> Change Alternate Essential Flow 2.2.1.7 (The Actor Has Returned From The Perform Breakout Screen To This Window) to indicate that the window should open with a blank hitlist.</p> <p>In Post-Condition 4.3, add Alternate Essential Flow 2.2.1.7 as a valid pre-condition. In Special Requirements 6.1 (Prevent Display or Update of Applications in this Actor's Batch), add <i>"They select "back to list" from the Perform Breakout Screen"</i> to the list of bulleted "until" conditions.</p> <p><u>Perform Breakout Use Case:</u> Added Alternate Essential Flow 2.2.1.6 (The Actor Wants To Return To The Display List Of Applications For Breakout Screen Before His Entire Batch Has Been Processed). This specifies that the system releases the remainder of the actor's batch so that it is available for query and update by other actors. Added Post-Condition 4.5 (The Display List Of Applications For Breakout Screen Is Displayed).</p> <p><u>eRA CGAP Supplementary Specification:</u> Changed the description of the Show Reserved Application element in Section 8.1.1, Receipt Workload, Query Parameters (from "whether to display applications that have been reserved as part of <i>the</i> user's batch" to "whether to display applications that have been reserved as part of <i>a</i> user's batch").</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>					

11	Tracy Soto	IAR	Defect Fix	Medium/High	CCB_09_11_2003_item11
12	Steve Fitzgerald	ICO – Client Server (Forms) (Reports section)	Defect	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item12
13	Tracy Soto/Patti Gaines	Web QT, GCM, PGM	Defect Fix	Low	CCB_09_11_2003_item13

Request:
If an SRA enables a reviewer who has a Commons account with an “identified” status (request_status_code = I) the enable fails. The SRA gets a generic error “Could not execute command due to Network or Database problem (ID:19260)” This same error also occurs for other issues. Although the error occurs, the system still sends the invitation email to the reviewer but the enable flag is not set in IAR. Further complicating the issue is that SRAs usually select multiple reviewers to enable. If any of the reviewers have this problem, they all get the emails but none are enabled. The SRA has to enable them one by one to find the one causing the error, this generates more emails to the reviewers. If the enabled flag isn’t set, the reviewer won’t have access to the meeting if/when the account is activated. This bug is preventing reviewer’s access to meetings in IAR and causing confusion because reviewers are getting multiple emails. This has occurred on 3 meetings in the past week. The new request_status_code (identified, verified, etc.) were introduced in the last (August) release.

Decision: Approved

Notes:

1. User Support has been getting calls on this item.
2. SRA’s are getting lots of duplicate emails.

Action Items:

1. (Dan Hall) Write the test plan.
2. (Tracy Soto and Scarlett Gibb) Assist with testing.
3. (Mike Wilson) Confirm that the development hours can be absorbed. **** Done ****

Request:
During integration testing of the GPM reports, it was discovered that the fy is being calculated incorrectly on GPM6065A and GPM6075A when running these reports for a prior fy. The fy is being calculated on the system date and not the effective date which is causing misrepresentation of data on the prior fy reports. After 9/30/2003, users will be running the prior fiscal year report for fy 2003 reporting requirements.

Decision: Approved

Notes:

1. Approved as out-of-cycle fix. Expect heavy usage in early October.

Request:
Web QT analyst discovered that the NIH link in the standard footer for internal J2EE applications is currently pointing to www.nih.org (Northern Inyo Hospital) instead of www.nih.gov. The NIH link in the footer should be modified to correctly go to the NIH home page (www.nih.gov). This affects the following applications using the standard footer: Web QT, GCM and PGM.

Decision: Approved

	Mike Goodman	CRISP Rollover	Requirements Change	Medium	CCB_09_11_2003_item14
14	<p>Request: The current CRISP rollover still has logic in it which prevents a rollover FROM a competing record, if the rollover target's fiscal year is not <= the system parameter named "CRISP_ROLLOVER_FY". In the early days of the CRISP rollover, the use of this parameter was intended to prevent premature rollover to a newly-awarded type-5 record, in cases where the indexing/abstract work in the prior competing year was not completed. The intention in these cases was to avoid wasting the <u>one</u> opportunity we'd have to effect rollover on that record, pulling an incomplete set of information forward.</p> <p>In the Summer release, we already removed logic that consults this "FY parameter" when rolling over from one noncompeting (e.g. Type-5) year to another noncompeting year. However we left this criteria in place when considering a rollover <u>from</u> the original competing record (e.g. T1 or T2) <u>into</u> a noncompeting record.</p> <p>For example, let's say the FY parameter today is 2002. You have the following in the database:</p> <p>1R01MH12345-01 awarded, FY2002 5R01MH12345-02 pending, FY2003</p> <p>Then you award the 5R01MH12345-02 record. Since it is FY2003 noncompeting, and since the prior year was a competing (Type-1) record, we currently consult the FY parameter. The FY parameter in this example is set to 2002. The current rollover logic would prevent the rollover from occurring since the intended target is FY2003 and this is not less-than-or-equal-to the FY parameter (which is 2002 in my example).</p> <p>What we seek to do at this time is take the "FY parameter" logic out of the rollover <i>altogether</i>. We have assessed the risk of a type-5 actually being awarded before the CRISP workflow is completed in the prior year, and the risk is negligible. The risk of keeping this logic in place on the other hand is quite severe. If left in place, this parameter will keep some rollovers from occurring altogether. If the FY parameter is not set to the next fiscal year as soon as a grant's first type-5 is awarded, the rollover will not take place. And the type-5 remain that way (with no rolled-over information). In these cases there will not be another opportunity to perform the rollover, since this is no longer a batch process but is event-driven to coincide with the initial encumbrance of the type-5 record. The initial encumbrance is a one-time event.</p> <p>Decision: Defer</p>				
15	Dan Hall	JIT	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item15
	<p>Request: The Just In Time Interface does not allow for the display of the JIT PDF prior to submission of JIT information. It is probable that the PI would upload Other Support and an SO would submit it. However, the SO can not view the Other Support Document until post submission. This is a requirement oversight that should be corrected. Since the report being created on submission, this CCB is to add a "View JIT" button that will dynamically generate the JIT report for viewing. The JIT prototype is available for referenced at</p> <p>http://erawebdev.od.nih.gov/UI/commons/StatusQuery/jit.asp</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Notes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change is necessary to meet policy requirements (PI & SO both must verify). <p>Action Items:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (Mike Wilson) Confirm that the development hours can be absorbed. ** Done ** 				
16	Mark Siegert	eSNAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_07_10_2003_item9

	<p>Request: With the likelihood of eSNAP coming out of pilot status after the October release, a situation with Population Tracking Protocols must be corrected. Approximately 30% of eSNAP-eligible grants requiring tracking do not have a protocol established. Therefore, a requirement is necessary for the system to create a protocol when none exists and the grant requires tracking. At the time that the Create eSNAP WIP function is performed, the system would begin as it normally does by looking at the previous support year for enrollment protocols. If none are found, the system will create a default protocol. The system-generated protocol will use a default title and protocol number to be defined in the use case. At the time the protocol is created and added to the database, a notification will be sent to the program officer at NIH indicating that the PI has created a Pop Tracking protocol in the eSNAP module.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Notes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Integration Testing should reflect 3.5 hours. 2. Without change eSNAP can't move from pilot to production. <p>Action Items:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (Mike Wilson) Confirm that the development hours can be absorbed. ** Done ** 2. (Dan Hall) Write test plan and assist in identifying grant test data. 				
17	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Requirements Change	Medium	CCB_07_10_2003_item9
18	<p>Request: Ops has requested a change in the design of the impacii_doc_display_prod servlet that may not be in accordance with the eRA security policy and would in any case make deployments more difficult by requiring changes to coding when advancing the procedure from one environment to the next.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Notes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Approved as out-of-cycle fix. Deployment target is the maintenance weekend after Fall deployment to allow for appropriate testing. 2. Server-side change only. 3. Current situation is a violation of password policy. <p>Action Items:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (Mike Wilson) Confirm that the development hours can be absorbed. ** Done ** 2. (Mark Siegert) Provide a list of affected applications to the test team. 				
	Jim Tucker/Patti Gaines	CRISP-on-the-Web	Requirements Change	Medium	CCB_09_11_2003_item18
	<p>Request: This request is to remove the requirement to update the Release Notes page and Scroller text on the CRISP web site from the Fall 2003 release.</p> <p>With each change to the CRISP web site, or any major database change, the CRISP Release Notes web page is updated with the description of the change. The Release Notes page contains information that is of interest to the external user. The scroller text on the CRISP Home page is also updated to reflect the latest version number.</p> <p>For the Fall 2003 release, we were originally planning to migrate the CRISP database from Oracle 8i to Oracle 9i. This was a major database change and would have been included on the release notes page. However, the database migration has been deferred to a subsequent release. The other change that is being made for the fall release is a data population/removal change. Data population issues are not normally shared with external users. Therefore, there is really nothing to include on the Release notes page for this release.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>				

19	Phil DeHaas/ Jim Tucker	CRISP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item19
<p>Request: When the address_line_5 changes were made with for the deployment on August 1st, the packages that build the data for transfer of awards were overlooked. After the last submission, one of the users sent an e-mail stating that line 5 of the address was blank. The problem affects the following packages: sir_dbms_crisp_ntis package and sir_dbms_crisp_cos package.. Since this data is used by outside groups and made available through their organizations to the public, it is critical that this change be made.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Notes: 1. Phil DeHaas will do development work to avoid impact to NGIT development effort.</p>					
20	Vanessa Grandy	IEdison-II	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item20
<p>Request: The following requirement clarification bugs are being requested for deferral due to lack of time to finish the documents in a timely fashion for the development team. Refer to the "Justification for Deferral" column for further justification related to each defect. (For details, review CCB Item #20)</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>					
21	Vanessa Grandy	IEdison-II	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item21
<p>Request: The Group Advocate is requesting that the following defects recently found in production be corrected in the October release. (For details, review CCB #21)</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Notes: 1. Item ID 5984 is a duplicate to an item that is already part of the Fall release scope (accounts for 16 hours of development time, 1 hour Integration Testing, and 1 hour Acceptance Testing).</p>					
22	Sara Silver	CGAP Receipt and Referral	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item22
<p>Request: For the CGAP pilot, the Perform Breakout screen contains two activity code fields, one in the "Application Data" block, another one in the "Choose one of the Three Options" block. Both fields are currently described as updateable. The activity code field in the Application Data block should be display only, to avoid problems if a user enters data in both places.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>					
23	Johnnie Pearson	WQT (Web Query Tool)	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item23
<p>Request: As written in the Supplementary Specifications document, the CAN should be displayed for the administering IC. If the administering IC used more than one CAN, only show one. The intent was to give users a mechanism by which they could link to the "CAN Report," which shows all CANs, including dual and co-funded grants.</p> <p>The requirement logic did not take into account a situation where the administering IC doesn't fund an application. In that situation, logic must be added to return a CAN from the ICs that provided. If not, no CAN will be shown and users will not be able to access the "CAN Report."</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Action Items: 1. (Patti Gaines and Mike Goodman) Assist test team by identifying appropriate test cases.</p>					

24	Carl Newcomer	IEdison-II	Database Change (Lock Mode)	Low	CCB_09_11_2003_item24
<p>Request: The Clinical Center recommends that additional tables in the edison2 database be converted from Allpages to Datarows based on the positive results experience with the changes to the Patent table. This will further reduce contention in the database and reduce impact to other users.</p> <p>We would like to incrementally change high risk tables over the next several weeks to Datarows to ensure that Sybase Server settings (managed by the Clinical Center) scale properly.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>					
25	Steve Fitzgerald	ICO – Client Server (Forms) (Reports section)	Defect Fix	High	CCB_09_11_2003_item25
<p>Request: It was recently discovered on several of the GPM Budget Reports that several columns that display large dollar amounts are not formatted large enough to display the whole value correctly. This CCB request is to change the GPM report column definitions where the dollar amounts are too small. This is currently occurring in 6015a and 6015b. It's possible, that when run for all IC's , the total columns may not be large enough to hold the total amounts. The total column amounts should be set large enough so that at least ?? digits are able to display without being truncated.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p>					