

# CCB Decision Summary

Thurs., October 21, 2004  
9:00a.m.  
Rockledge 1  
Fifth Floor Conference Room

## Requests Covered

Submitted To CCB by	Request Title (Task Headline)	System / Subsystem	User Impact (Severity)	Request ID	Request Status (Task State)
Maria Koshy	Utilization Report is storing incorrect data in the First Commercial Sales field.	iEdison / iEdison II		CQERA00021469	CCB Approved
Jennifer Flach	CGAP 1.2.5 Supplements	CGAP / CGAP	4 - Medium	CQERA00019698	CCB Approved
Jennifer Flach	Appendix checkbox on Table of Contents	CGAP / CGAP-Generating Grant Image		CQERA00021418	CCB Approved
Jennifer Flach	Fix J2EE Framework Security service to work with the new release of OC4J 10g	Commons/JFRA ME		CQERA00021500 Walk-in #1	CCB Approved
Michael Goodman	DCIS Bridge, iteration 2 requirements	IMPAC II / DCIS Bridge		CQERA00020784	CCB Approved
David Wright	- Commons Maintenance Release 2.7.2	Commons / Commons		CQERA00021238	CCB Approved
Dan Hall	Data Quality - Create IPF in Production to support CGAP Validation of Releases	CGAP / CGAP		CQERA00021460	CCB Approved
Al D'Amico	Baseline of eRA Component Model	Operational Environment/ Core eRA environment		CQERA00021497 Walk-in #2	CCB Approved

## Decision Summary

**CQERA00021469**

### 1. Summary

Task Id: CQERA00021469  
Task Order Id: 2004-10 - iEdison 2 (NGIT)  
Submitted to CCB by: Maria Koshy  
Date Submitted To CCB: 2004-10-19 00:00:00  
Request Description (Task Headline): Utilization Report is storing incorrect data in the First Commercial Sales field.

Severity:  
System: iEdison  
SubSystem: iEdison II  
Target Release Name: iEdison release 2.0.6  
Target Release Date: 2004-10-29 00:00:00  
Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date): 2004-10-22 00:00:00  
CCB Approval Counts: 0  
**Request Status (Task State): CCB Approved**

**Notes:** Maria Koshy stated that this task is to correct a production problem in iEdison. When a user enters a year in the First Commercial Sales field and then wants to change it before entering the data, the screen allows the user to erase the year. But the erased year gets saved in the database, leading to incorrect data in the utilization report.

## 2. Description and Justification

### Description:

Fix CQERA00021452. Utilization Report is storing incorrect data in the First Commercial Sales field when a modification is done to that field before a final commitment to the database.

### Justification:

Utilization Report is storing incorrect data in the First Commercial Sales field when a modification is done to that field before a final commitment to the database.

## 3. Level of Effort

Impacted Group	LOE Time	Assessor
Analysis	0	
Development	8	Jeffrey Kuhn
Integration Test	0	
Acceptance Test	0	
Operation	0	

### Overall Impact Assessment

The user will be able to submit the utilization report for the correct year. It will not store the wrong year in the database.

## 4. Associated Defects

Defect Id	Defect Headline
CQERA00021452	Utilization Report-Modified submit is not being stored correctly for First Commercial Sales

## 5. Associated Sub Tasks

Task Id	Task Headline
---------	---------------

## 6. Associated Issues

Issue Id	Issue Headline
----------	----------------

**CQERA00019698**

## 1. Summary

Task Id:	CQERA00019698
Task Order Id:	2004-6 - CGAP Business Components (SAIC)
Submitted to CCB by:	Jennifer Flach
Date Submitted To CCB:	2004-10-14 00:00:00
Request Description (Task Headline):	CGAP 1.2.5 Supplements
Severity:	4 - Medium
System:	CGAP
SubSystem:	CGAP
Target Release:	CGAP 1.2.5
Target Release Date:	<a href="#">2004-12-01 00:00:00</a>

Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date): 2004-11-15 00:00:00

CCB Approval Counts: 0

**CCB Decision:** CCB Approved

**Notes:**

Jennifer Flach stated that this task will enable grant applicants to submit supplements via eCGAP. This task will go into the first iteration of the next CGAP release. It is part of a business component task order entrusted to Science Applications International Corporation. In addition to the 30 hours of analysis and 160 hours of development, this task will require 120 hours of integration testing and three hours work by operations.

## 2. Description and Justification

**Description:**

Supplements

**Justification:**

Enable applicants to submit supplements via eCGAP

## 4. Level of Effort

Impacted Group	LOE Time	Assessor
Analysis	30	Sara Silver
Development	160	Raghava Peketi
Integration Test	120	Peter Liu
Acceptance Test	na	
Operation	about 3	
<b>Overall Impact Assessment</b>	medium	

## 4. Associated Defects

Defect Id	Defect Headline
-----------	-----------------

## 5. Associated Sub Tasks

Task Id	Task Headline
CQERA00019951	validations for CGAP Supplements

## 6. Associated Issues

Issue Id	Issue Headline
----------	----------------

**CQERA00021418**

## 1. Summary

Task Id:	CQERA00021418
Task Order Id:	2004-6 - CGAP Business Components (SAIC)
Submitted to CCB by:	Jennifer Flach
Date Submitted To CCB:	2004-10-19 00:00:00
Request Description (Task Headline):	Appendix checkbox on Table of Contents
Severity:	
System:	CGAP
SubSystem:	CGAP-Generating Grant Image
Target Release:	CGAP 1.2.5
Target Release Date:	<a href="#">2004-12-01 00:00:00</a>

Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date):

CCB Approval Counts: 0

**CCB Decision:** CCB Approved

**Notes:**

Jennifer noted that implementation of the last CGAP release allowed Service Providers to submit an appendix with their electronically submitted grants. This task will basically allow them to check an appendix box on the Table of Contents page, indicating they do have an appendix attached.

## 2. Description and Justification

**Description:**

If appendix PDF is submitted, mark checkbox on Table of Contents Page. Specs are in eRA CGAP Supp Spec, version 1.7.

**Justification:**

Need to be able to check Appendix box now that applicants can include an appendix attachment in the electronic submission.

## 5. Level of Effort

Impacted Group	LOE Time	Assessor
Analysis		
Development	8 hours	Raghava Peketi
Integration Test	30 minutes	Choudhury Hasan
Acceptance Test		
Operation		

**Overall Impact Assessment**

minor

## 4. Associated Defects

Defect Id	Defect Headline
-----------	-----------------

## 5. Associated Sub Tasks

Task Id	Task Headline
---------	---------------

## 6. Associated Issues

Issue Id	Issue Headline
----------	----------------

**CQERA00021500**

## 1. Summary

Task Id:	CQERA00021500
Task Order Id:	TBD
Submitted to CCB by:	Jennifer Flach
Date Submitted To CCB:	2004-10-20 00:00:00
Request Description (Task Headline):	Fix J2EE Framework Security service to work with the new release of OC4J 10g
Severity:	
System:	Commons
SubSystem:	JFRAME

Target Release: Not Scheduled  
Target Release Date:  
Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date):  
CCB Approval Counts: 0  
**CCB Decision: CCB Approved**

**Notes:**

Jennifer stated that when CGAP migrated to OC4J 10G (the Oracle Application Server Containers for J2EE), the security service broke, causing problems with CGAP reports and Service Providers registration installations. She stated that the fix will not require much development time. This task needs to be completed as soon as possible because CGAP is open for production for another pilot. CCB acting chair David Wright stated that if the task is made a CGAP task order, instead of maintenance (which has been tasked with many jobs), it stands a chance of being done quicker.

## 2. Description and Justification

**Description:**

Problem:

J2EE Framework Security service is not working in the new release of OC4J 10g which CGAP has moved to.

The problem is due to the security package making a JNDI Lookup to get some evermind class which OC4J uses to create the logged in state. This login call is being ignored by the new container. Since 10g is now J2EE compliant, it now uses JAAS for its security mechanism (the evermind classes are still there for some sense of backward compatibility).

Resolution:

The fix is to remove the JNDI lookup and replacing it with calls to the JAZN API (Oracle's implementation of JAAS).

**Justification:**

J2EE Framework Security service is not working in the new release of OC4J 10g which CGAP has moved to. This needs to be fixed for the current eCGAP pilot.

## 6. Level of Effort

Impacted Group	LOE Time	Assessor
Analysis		Ammar Al-Badarneh
Development		
Integration Test	-	
Acceptance Test	-	
Operation		

**Overall Impact Assessment**

high

## 4. Associated Defects

Defect Id	Defect Headline
-----------	-----------------

## 5. Associated Sub Tasks

Task Id	Task Headline
---------	---------------

## 6. Associated Issues

Issue Id	Issue Headline
----------	----------------

**CQERA00020784**

## 1. Summary

Task Id: CQERA00020784  
Task Order Id: 2004-4 - DCIS (ACT)  
Submitted to CCB by: Michael Goodman  
Date Submitted To CCB: 2004-10-19 00:00:00  
Request Description (Task Headline): DCIS Bridge, iteration 2 requirements  
Severity:  
System: IMPAC II  
SubSystem: DCIS Bridge  
Target Release: DCIS Bridge 1.0.0  
Target Release Date: 2004-12-15 00:00:00  
Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date): 2004-10-29 00:00:00  
CCB Approval Counts: 0  
**CCB Decision: CCB Approved**

### Notes:

Michael Goodman stated that this task is the rebaselining of a previous ticket because of additional clarifications to the eRA-DCIS (Department Contract Information System - the federal depository of all NIH Contracting information) Bridge. Only one of the six changes will require effort; item 6 will need two hours of development but no testing.

## 2. Description and Justification

### Description:

Requirements for loading contract data, as specified in the following artifacts. These artifacts are all located in PVCS, with the applicable version labeled as "BASELINE\_DCIS\_ITERATION\_2".

All are under the root folder "ERA\_IMPACII / dcis / docs / artifacts / 2.requirements":

Use Case Model / DCIS Use Case Model Survey

use\_case / Load Contract Data Use Case

use\_case / Control Data Quality

Supp Spec / DCIS Supplementary Specification

Supp Spec / eRA DCIS Bridge Data Mapping

### Justification:

This is to clarify the existing requirements, and to re-baseline.

### Clarifications:

[1] External Organization bridge algorithm: add external\_org\_wip\_flag to the set of columns that are being copied from previous contract mods, when subsequent contract mods are bridged.

[2] Person information bridge algorithm: prior to step 2 in the algorithm, clarify that if the contract mod is NULL or zero, that we should skip to step 10.

[3] Person information bridge algorithm: step 5, clarify that last, first, middle names should be matched against the all-cap, no-punctuation forms of these names in the eRA database.

[4] Person information bridge algorithm: step 6, removed confusing phrase.

[5] Task number bridge algorithm: clarify that task number shall be NULL for base contract or mod.

[6] Mapping of fiscal year was not previously specified for the main table, appls\_t. Only for awd\_fundings and appl\_periods. The mapping to appls\_t has been clarified in the mapping spreadsheet.

## 7. Level of Effort

Impacted Group	LOE Time	Assessor
Analysis	160	David Turner
Development	474	Peter Ly
Integration Test	200	Eric Paquin
Acceptance Test	200	John Monsma
Operation	0	Amir Venegas

### Overall Impact Assessment

The following artifacts have been checked back into PVCS. The iteration 2 baseline label (BASELINE\_DCIS\_ITERATION\_2) has been applied to the most recent version of each ...

Folder: ERA\_IMPACII / dcis / docs / artifacts / 2.requirements / Supp Spec

Files: DCIS Supplementary Specification.doc

eRA DCIS Bridge Data Mapping.xls

It has been estimated that the impact on development for these clarifications and changes is a maximum of 2 hours. It is also estimated that the impact on testing is none.

## 4. Associated Defects

Defect Id	Defect Headline
-----------	-----------------

## 5. Associated Sub Tasks

Task Id	Task Headline
---------	---------------

## 6. Associated Issues

Issue Id	Issue Headline
----------	----------------

**CQERA00021238**

## 1. Summary

Task Id: CQERA00021238  
Task Order Id: 2004-8 - Maintenance 2 (ACT)  
Submitted to CCB by: David Wright  
Date Submitted To CCB: 2004-10-20 00:00:00  
Request Description (Task Headline): - Commons Maintenance Release 2.7.2  
Severity:  
System: Commons  
SubSystem: Commons  
Target Release: Commons 2.7.2  
Target Release Date: [2004-12-30 00:00:00](#)  
Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date):  
CCB Approval Counts: 0  
**CCB Decision: CCB Approved**

### Notes:

[Dan Hall](#) stated that this request is to baseline the Commons Maintenance Release 2.7.2. It will require under 200 hours of maintenance work. [David Wright](#) stated that he felt that some of the defects needed to be rectified more quickly than they would be if they were included in the next Commons Expansion task order. There is no current estimate on testing.

## 2. Description and Justification

### Description:

Commons Maintenance Release 2.7.2 Master Ticket. Commons Requirements are in ClearCase with the Tag BASELINE\_COMMON\_2.7.2

!!!! This release should not be performed between the 10th through the 16th of the month because of changes to eSNAP validation rules !!!!!!!!!

### Justification:

Baseline Requirements for Release 2.7.2 of the Commons

## 8. Level of Effort

### Impacted Group

### LOE Time

### Assessor

Analysis

Development

Integration Test

Acceptance Test

Operation

### Overall Impact Assessment

184 hours estimated for development

## 4. Associated Defects

### Defect Id

### Defect Headline

CQERA00018370

Commons Delete Account is not removing the LDAP entry

CQERA00019423

IAR - Incorrect sort of Subprojects

CQERA00019428

IAR - Order of Subproject critiques does not adhere to the Order of

Review

CQERA00019618

When you click on the eRA Password Policy under the Login & Logout section in Help it takes you to the Changing your Password

CQERA00019619

Budget Period Start and End date should appear on grant detail page

CQERA00019661

Create Account Create Affiliation redirects to an incorrect page

CQERA00019759

Status - SRA Not Always Showing in Commons

CQERA00021053

eSNAP Showing Subproject Records

CQERA00021128

eSNAP - Save on Upload Science Page goes back to Manage eSNAP

## 5. Associated Sub Tasks

### Task Id

### Task Headline

CQERA00018284

Base System - Change Menu from "IAR" to "Internet Assisted Review"

CQERA00019198

IAR - Send Batch Emails to reviewers

CQERA00019386

IAR - Discussants can't upload critiques in READ phase

CQERA00019387

IAR - Create Word Pre-SS files for Subprojects

CQERA00019412

IAR - Subproject Critiques need to be separated by header in Prelim SS

CQERA00020524

eSNAP - IRG and IACUC Assurances Not Validated in eSNAP allowing

for blank submissions

CQERA00020931

IAR - Rename View Score Matrix to Preliminary Score Matrix

CQERA00021055

BASE - Allow Build Number to pulled from the Config Value

CQERA00021062

STATUS - No Cost Extension Must change algorithm add a day to work

on the last day of the grant

CQERA00021267

eSNAP - Mods to eSNAP questions and change SSN to 4 digits. Also

increased eSNAP Text Boxes to be larger

## 6. Associated Issues

### Issue Id

### Issue Headline

**CQERA00021460**

## 1. Summary

Task Id: CQERA00021460  
Task Order Id: TBD  
Submitted to CCB by: Dan Hall  
Date Submitted To CCB: 2004-10-19 00:00:00  
Request Description (Task Headline): Data Quality - Create IPF in Production to support CGAP Validation of Releases

Severity:  
System: CGAP  
SubSystem: CGAP  
Target Release: Not Scheduled  
Target Release Date:  
Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date):  
CCB Approval Counts: 0  
**CCB Decision: CCB Approved**

### Notes:

Dan Hall stated that this task is to create a test Institutional Profile (IPF) to support CGAP production. David Wright stated that this request is approved, but that the title should be changed to a more generic one to reflect that this test IPF will be used not only by CGAP but other applications too.

## 2. Description and Justification

### Description:

We need to create an IPF in production, register it in Commons, and create an SO and PI account, along with other defaults to support the validation of CGAP releases.

### Justification:

We need to create an IPF in production, register it in Commons, and create an SO and PI account, along with other defaults to support the validation of CGAP releases. The name of the test institution will be CGAP GENERIC TEST ORG. All CGAP submitted applications will be rejected or manual removed from IMPACII following any test submissions.

This initiative is a Data Change which historically is not a CCB request. However, we wanted to use the CCB to communicate and document this change to the project team.

## 9. Level of Effort

Impacted Group	LOE Time	Assessor
Analysis		
Development		
Integration Test		
Acceptance Test		
Operation		

### Overall Impact Assessment

This will take effectively no time to implement.

## 4. Associated Defects

Defect Id	Defect Headline
-----------	-----------------

## 5. Associated Sub Tasks

Task Id	Task Headline
---------	---------------

## 6. Associated Issues

Issue Id	Issue Headline
----------	----------------

CQERA00021497

### 1. Summary

Task Id:	CQERA00021497
Task Order Id:	TBD
Submitted to CCB by:	Al D'Amico
Date Submitted To CCB:	2004-10-20 00:00:00
Request Description (Task Headline):	Baseline of eRA Component Model
Severity:	
System:	Operational Environments
SubSystem:	Core eRA Environment
Target Release:	Not Scheduled
Target Release Date:	
Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date):	
CCB Approval Counts:	0
<b>CCB Decision:</b>	<b>CCB Approved</b>

#### Notes:

Al D'Amico stated that this request is to baseline the eRA Component Model, the new logical organization of all eRA software components. The model was presented at an Operations meeting and has been peer reviewed.

### 2. Description and Justification

#### Description:

The Architecture group has produced the eRA Component Model which was peer reviewed and presented at the Operations Meeting. This request is to approve and baseline this model as presented in the ClearCase document:

/teams/architecture/tech arch/eRA Component Model.doc, version 6

#### Justification:

Defines new logical organization of all eRA software components

### 10. Level of Effort

Impacted Group	LOE Time	Assessor
----------------	----------	----------

Analysis

Development

Integration Test

Acceptance Test

Operation

#### Overall Impact Assessment

The structure used by other tools (ClearQuest, ClearCase, ReqPro) and processes will be modified to align with this component organization.

### 4. Associated Defects

Defect Id	Defect Headline
-----------	-----------------

### 5. Associated Sub Tasks

Task Id	Task Headline
---------	---------------

## **6. Associated Issues**

**Issue Id**

**Issue Headline**