

CCB Meeting Decision Summary

Thurs., May 27, 2004
2:00 p.m.
Rockledge 1
Fifth-Floor Conference Room

Requests Covered

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
01	Sophonia Simms	Committee Management	Enhancement	N/A	CCB_5_27_2004_item1
02	Chanath Ratnanather	PGM	Requirements Change and Defect Fix	Critical	CCB_5_27_2004_item2
03	Dan Hall	Commons Status	Change	NA	CCB_5_27_2004_item3
04	Al D'Amico	Operational Environment	Change	NA	CCB_5_27_2004_item4
05	Al D'Amico	Operational Environment	Change	NA	CCB_5_27_2004_item5
06	Al D'Amico	Operational Environment	Change	NA	CCB_5_27_2004_item6
07	Daniel Fox	Peer Review	High Defect Fix (CQ 18756)	Emergency	CCB_5_27_2004item7
08	Tim Twomey	Commons Status	Change to Release Version 2.6.4	NA	CCB_5_27_2004item8

Request and Decision Summary

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
01	Sophonia Simms	Committee Management	Enhancement	N/A	CCB_5_27_2004_item1
	<p>Request: The Center for Scientific research (CSR) requests an automated interface allowing the upload of Financial Operating Plan (FOP) travel expense data into IMPAC II/Committee Management. The upload of data will originate from CSR's SQL Server database, FOP Operational Data Store (FODS). The purpose is to eliminate a series of time consuming manual processing steps surrounding the creation of the FOP. Currently a lead Grant Technical Assistant (GTA) generates a roster for a particular study section or SEP using the Committee Management report feature. This roster is saved in PDF format and e-mailed to the World Travel Services (WTS) for processing. WTS then sends CSR Travel a weekly report in Microsoft Word and/or Microsoft Excel containing travel expense data. The final step involves CSR Travel manually entering the travel expenses into Committee Management where the FOP is generated. With the implementation of this new interface, travel expense data will be automatically uploaded into IMPAC II/Committee Management, the primary tool for producing the FOP.</p> <p>Decision: Approved with the proviso that it may not be done by September 1.</p> <p>Notes: Sophonia was asked to provide Donna with the response from Anna Snouffer (CM Advocate) regarding the possibility of failure to have this ready for September 1. If we can't guarantee the deadline, does she still want it to go ahead for the next possible release?</p>				
02	Chanath Ratnanather	PGM	Requirements Change and Defect Fix	Critical	CCB_5_27_2004_item2
	<p>Request: This request is to fix one bug, and one enhancement that were missed by the analyst in the PGM 2004 maintenance release #1. CQERA00018633: The page navigation links aren't working in the "Other Portfolio" pages of PGM. That is, if a PO has more than 50 grants in say Pending SRG, the "next page", "prev. pg" links are not displayed. So a program assistant cannot use "Other Portfolio" to work on a PO's portfolio beyond the first 50 grants. This is a critical bug.</p>				

	<p>CQERA00018641: The Return to Portfolio button was implemented in the My Portfolio section of PGM, but the analyst forgot to make the request to implement it in the Other Portfolio section. This request is to make the same enhancement made in My Portfolio to Other Portfolio.</p> <p>Acceptance testing has been estimated at 8 hours.</p> <p>Decision: Approved.</p>				
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Dan Hall	Commons Status	Change	NA	CCB_5_27_2004_item3
03	<p>Request: Feedback from CWG was that we need to include SO in the ability for the Final Progress Report to be submitted in Commons in the Closeout Module scheduled for the Commons 2.7 release. As such the Commons\Status\Status Query Specification was changed to include the SO in addition to the PI for the submission of the Final Progress Report. Requirement change is in section 1.3.2 of document. The changed requirement is below and underlined.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Notes: This will be added to the Task Order baseline.</p>				
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Al D'Amico	Operational Environment	Change	NA	CCB_5_27_2004_item4
04	<p>Request: The upgrade to v 2.0.1 of the Operational Environment will include an upgrade to the Oracle runtimes for forms and reports. The Oracle runtimes we are currently using are out of date and not certified on XP. In addition the latest patchset purportedly fixes the problems users are encountering with certain password characters.</p> <p>Decision: Not approved</p> <p>Notes: This is an Oracle patch, which is a result of the problem with passwords beginning with an asterisk.</p> <p>The group agreed that it must be tested in the TEST environment first before going to production.</p> <p>Requester was asked to test the patch on a parallel system. If successful, resubmit request to CCB and provide a list of the value this will add.</p>				
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Al D'Amico	Operational Environment	Change	NA	CCB_5_27_2004_item5
05	<p>Request: The upgrade to v 2.0.2 of the Operational Environment will include an upgrade to a number of back end components (JDBC driver, JDK, OID, and RDBMS). All of these are minor patchset updates that have been pending for some time, except the OID upgrade which is the one from 8i to 9i that was attempted earlier and aborted due to application issues which are being addressed in the Commons.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Notes: This is on a different cycle and not dependent on the Commons.</p> <p>Regression testing for LDAP/OID change is recommended by Oracle.</p> <p>Approve OID, which will be at Commons 2.6.5 level.</p> <p>Approve all others without regression testing.</p>				
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
06	Al D'Amico	Operational Environment	Change	NA	CCB_5_27_2004_item6

	<p>Request: The upgrade to v 2.1.0 of the Operational Environment will include an upgrade to Oracle 10g RDBMS and RAC. As requested by the branch chiefs, the attached plan has been developed for the deployment of 10g and RAC to production by the end of 2004.</p> <p>Decision: Approve to go forward</p> <p>Notes: Target: November/December.</p> <p>Brad verified that the toolsets we have will work with 10G.</p> <p>This will require downtime, probably over a weekend. However, much more detail is required before scheduling can be done.</p> <p>We are introducing LINUX slowly, and need a separate CCB for this.</p>
--	---

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Daniel Fox	Peer Review	High Defect Fix (CQ 18756)	Emergency	CCB_5_27_2004_item7
07	<p>Request: During the deployment production testing it was discovered that NOTNULL constraint on appl_process_stages_t.creator_id column is violated as part of the transaction for uploading the Summary Statements using the new J2EE method.</p> <p>The constraint was disabled to allow the transaction to pass.</p> <p>We need to fix the rev_dbms_ss_pkg.pbd to allow the propagation of the creator_id into the appl_process_stages_t.creator_id column.</p> <p>This can be done as an emergency server-side only fix without affecting anything else.</p> <p>After the package is fixed and deployed to production, the NOTNULL constraint on the column needs to be enabled back.</p> <p>There will be no overall impact.</p> <p>Decision: Approved</p> <p>Notes: Deploy on Friday, May 28, 2004.</p>				

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Tim Twomey	Commons Status	Change to Release Version 2.6.4	NA	CCB_5_27_2004_item8
08	<p>Request: Project Period Begin and End Dates not showing in Commons Status.</p> <p>Within the last year the Project Period End Date and Project Period Begin Date have disappeared from Commons Status Detail. This is a bug, which we would like fix in the Commons Maintenance release 2.6.4 (CQ15559) currently baselined and under development. Scheduled release to testing is June 21, 2004.</p> <p>Decision: Approved.</p>				