

# CCB Meeting Decision Summary

Thursday, August 28, 2003  
9:00 a.m.  
Rockledge 1  
OER Conf. Rm., Third Floor

## Requests Covered

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
01	Krishna Collie	CM WEB	Requirements Change	Low	CCB 08 28 2003 item1
02	Krishna Collie	CM WEB	Requirements Change	Low	CCB 08 28 2003 item2
03	Mark Siegert	eSNAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB 08 28 2003 item3
04	Dan Hall	Grant Folder	Requirements Change	High	CCB 08 28 2003 item4
05	Dan Hall	Commons/IAR Integration	Fix Bug	High	CCB_08_28_2003_item5
06	Mike Goodman	CRISP +	Requirements Change/Clarification	Low	CCB_08_28_2003_item6
07	Mosen Zibaii /Alastair Thomson	ClearQuest	Configuration Change	Low	CCB_08_28_2003_item7
08	Shan Liang	Commons-Demo	Defect Fix	Low	CCB 08 28 2003 item8
09	Mike Goddman	ICE/Subprojects API	Bug Fix	High	CCB 08 28 2003 item9
W1	Vickie Fadeley	FSR	FSR Supplementary Specification	High	Walk-in – W1
W2	Steve Fitzgerald	TA	Requirement Change	High	Walk-in – W2
W3	Carl Newcomer	IE Edison II	Unix Environment Change	Low	Walk-in – W3

## Request & Decision Summary

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
	Krishna Collie	CM WEB	Requirements Change	Low	CCB 08 28 2003 item1
01	<p><b>Request:</b> Need to make stylistic changes to the CM home page to conform to the existing J2EE applications home page styles, most notably the Commons and PGM. This common look and feel will enhance the usability of the application and will provide users with a standard entry point into eRA J2EE applications.</p> <p>We request the following style changes to the home page –</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Get ERA Logo from Commons</li> <li>2. Get What's New Logo from Commons</li> <li>3. Provide Text for What's New (from analyst)</li> <li>4. Get Footer Text from Commons</li> <li>5. Move screen name between picture of bldg 1 and login information</li> </ol> <p>We would grab these items directly from the html from <a href="http://erawebdev.od.nih.gov/UI/">http://erawebdev.od.nih.gov/UI/</a> site and integrate into our site.</p> <p>We would keep our menu and how we log in to system the same.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p>				
02	Krishna Collie	CM WEB	Requirements Change	Low	CCB 08 28 2003 item2

<p><b>Request:</b>  We need to adjust our baseline for the October release. As part of the October baseline we were waiting to hear back from CMUG on some items that they wanted for the October release. The following items were presented to CMUG for input and the CMUG could not reach a decision on these items and chose to defer –</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Add sorting to Role Search Screen (don't know what fields yet - CMUG to provide).</li> <li>2. Rearrange values in agenda list LOV (requires adding a sort field to database &amp; modifying code) (don't have order yet - CMUG to provide).</li> <li>3. Remove some data elements from meeting type list (need confirmation from Anna and decision on what to do with existing meetings that use those meeting types. May be able to add a valid field like we did for meeting addresses so that an item doesn't show on the pick list anymore but is still there for historical purposes).</li> <li>4. Additional Hover text (CMUG to provide where and what).</li> </ol> <p>We request that the aforementioned items be removed from the October baseline. These items were not implemented and would be “striked-out” in the Use cases until CMUG reaches a decision.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p>														
03	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Submitted By</th> <th>Area Affected</th> <th>Type Of Request</th> <th>Cost/Schedule Impact</th> <th>Document Title</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Mark Siegert</td> <td>eSNAP</td> <td>Requirements Change</td> <td>High</td> <td>CCB_08_28_2003_item3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title	Mark Siegert	eSNAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_28_2003_item3	<p><b>Request:</b>  When executing the Initiate eSNAP in the Commons, an error occurs when the SNAP has a population tracking protocol in a “closed” state. The system cannot perform the refresh function when a closed protocol exists. This request is to modify the population tracking refresh function so that when it is initiated by eSNAP, the current business rules can be side-stepped and the refresh can complete successfully.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p>		
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title									
Mark Siegert	eSNAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_28_2003_item3										
04	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Submitted By</th> <th>Area Affected</th> <th>Type Of Request</th> <th>Cost/Schedule Impact</th> <th>Document Title</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Dan Hall</td> <td>Grant Folder</td> <td>Requirements Change</td> <td>High</td> <td>CCB_08_28_2003_item4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title	Dan Hall	Grant Folder	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_28_2003_item4	<p><b>Request:</b>  The Grant Folder will have a Reports Section which needs to include the Population Tracking SnapShot Report for the Program Business Area. Users with access to this Business Area would need to be able to pull up this already existing report, which is generated based upon appl_id through the reports section of the Grant Folder.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p> <p><b>Notes:</b>  1. Integration test assessment should be changed to 6 hrs.</p>		
Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title										
Dan Hall	Grant Folder	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_28_2003_item4										
05	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Submitted By</th> <th>Area Affected</th> <th>Type Of Request</th> <th>Cost/Schedule Impact</th> <th>Document Title</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Dan Hall</td> <td>Commons/IAR Integration</td> <td>Fix Bug</td> <td>High</td> <td>CCB_08_28_2003_item5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title	Dan Hall	Commons/IAR Integration	Fix Bug	High	CCB_08_28_2003_item5			
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title									
Dan Hall	Commons/IAR Integration	Fix Bug	High	CCB_08_28_2003_item5										

	<p><b>Request:</b>  We are having problems when an IAR IMPACII User (SRA or GTA) kills their session following login (impacii_online_users_t entry is made). When they try to log back in it hangs on login. You can validate this by logging in as sra2/sra2 in test, closing your browser and logging in again. It will hang upon 2nd login. Once you delete sra2 from impacii_online_users_t it works. This bug is causing major help desk issues and we would like it fixed in the 9/6 release. This should be an easy issue to fix and test, but it will require another release to Test to ensure it passes integration test prior to release to acceptance testing.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p> <p><b>Action Items:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(Verle Wright) Ensure the same version of framework is used by all applications.</li> <li>(Kalpesh Patel) Review framework design during single sign-on project.</li> </ol> <p><b>Notes:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Heavy IAR training time; issue will become more and more prevalent.</li> </ol>														
06	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Submitted By</th> <th>Area Affected</th> <th>Type Of Request</th> <th>Cost/Schedule Impact</th> <th>Document Title</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Mike Goodman</td> <td>CRISP +</td> <td>Requirements Change/Clarification</td> <td>Low</td> <td>CCB_08_28_2003_item6</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title	Mike Goodman	CRISP +	Requirements Change/Clarification	Low	CCB_08_28_2003_item6				
Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title											
Mike Goodman	CRISP +	Requirements Change/Clarification	Low	CCB_08_28_2003_item6											
	<p><b>Request:</b>  The requirements submitted for the Fall 2003 subprojects release described the behavior of the CRISP+ abstract screen, when changes are made but not checked “approved” before the user exits or saves. The language in the document has been updated as follows, to improve the options that are presented, and to clarify what should happen in one particular scenario.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Modified section 7, “Modify CRISP-Plus Abstract Screen Behavior”. The type of options presented to the user when the abstract is changed (but not approved) should allow the approval to be given right away or simply deferred. The previously-suggested options of <i>save</i> and <i>cancel</i> are not as helpful nor as intuitive. Allowing the user to cancel, and then re-edit is not as helpful an option, since the most likely result of canceling back to the screen would be to simply check the approval box and save again. By building the approval option into the warning dialog we can save the user that extra step, which will be more well-received and <i>not</i> any more difficult to implement.</li> <li>Also clarified section 7 to more clearly spell out what is expected to happen if the user <i>exits</i> the abstract screen (when abstract is unapproved), without clicking the save option.</li> </ul> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p>														
07	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Submitted By</th> <th>Area Affected</th> <th>Type Of Request</th> <th>Cost/Schedule Impact</th> <th>Document Title</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Mosen Zibaii /Alastair Thomson</td> <td>ClearQuest</td> <td>Configuration Change</td> <td>Low</td> <td>CCB_08_28_2003_item7</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title	Mosen Zibaii /Alastair Thomson	ClearQuest	Configuration Change	Low	CCB_08_28_2003_item7				
Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title											
Mosen Zibaii /Alastair Thomson	ClearQuest	Configuration Change	Low	CCB_08_28_2003_item7											
	<p><b>Request:</b>  Rational Software has released a new version of their tools (2003.06.00). We propose upgrading the ERAREQPRO server from the current 2002.05.02 release in order to relieve some of the issues observed in ClearQuest Web.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved for dev/test only</p> <p><b>Notes:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Client software changes only.</li> <li>Item must come back to CCB before moving to production. Email request/approval is sufficient.</li> </ol>														
08	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Submitted By</th> <th>Area Affected</th> <th>Type Of Request</th> <th>Cost/Schedule Impact</th> <th>Document Title</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Shan Liang</td> <td>Commons-Demo</td> <td>Defect Fix</td> <td>Low</td> <td>CCB_08_28_2003_item8</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title	Shan Liang	Commons-Demo	Defect Fix	Low	CCB_08_28_2003_item8				
Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title											
Shan Liang	Commons-Demo	Defect Fix	Low	CCB_08_28_2003_item8											

**Request:**

COMMONS\_DEMO schema in the production database (COMJDEMO) has 74 invalid objects. These invalid objects have been there from when COMMONS\_DEMO was set up. The cleanup includes granting appropriate privileges, creating required synonyms, and dropping irrelevant objects.

It is not a good practice to have invalid objects in a schema owner. It also causes confusion during deployment, having to find out which object gets invalidated after applying the changes.

Development team was coordinated to come up with an implementation plan. The changes had been applied to dev/test/stg and only the final cleanup in production is needed.

**Decision:** Approved

**Notes:**

1. Done in dev/test/stage already.
2. To be done prior to but in conjunction with 9/6 deployment.

Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
Mike Goddman	ICE/Subprojects API	Bug Fix	High	CCB 08 28 2003 item9

**Request:**

Production users have identified a problem with the Subproject API, which is resulting in data integrity errors.

It has been discovered that when subprojects are inserted using the API, the wip\_status\_code for the new subproject is set equal to the wip\_status\_code of the parent application. This is incorrect behavior, however, since

- (a) "wip status" at the parent level communicates whether the parent grant is being worked up for award, and at the subproject level it indicates whether the subproject has been approved by the CRISP section. The contextual meaning is not at all similar.
- (b) The very *values* used at the parent level are inconsistent with those used at the subproject level. Parent values are "Y" and "N". Subproject values are "W" (indicates WIP state) and NULL (no longer WIP, CRISP approved).

The result is that any subprojects which are inserted, where the parent is not being worked up for award, are being coded with WIP status "N". In turn, the ICO hitlist considers these to be CRISP approved subprojects, when that query option is selected ... thereby misrepresenting the true status of these subprojects.

09 To correct this, we simply need to isolate the INSERT statement that creates the APPLS\_T record for the new subproject, and ensure that the wip\_status\_code is *always* set to hard-coded value of "W", indicating that the subproject is in WIP status. There really should be no exception to this rule, for subprojects that are created through the IC Extension (ICE) API. Subprojects that are set up this way by the IC's do not have CRISP-assigned identifiers yet, and have not been processed through the CRISP workflow. Consequently, they should *all* be considered in WIP state, so the hard-coding is justified.

An off-cycle correction to this software should be feasible, since the software to be fixed is all server-side, and would not require a redeployment of any client software (or reports). The reasons that this is being requested as an out-of-cycle fix are:

- (a) The resulting problem affects data integrity and produces visible (negative) effects to end-user applications.
- (b) The problem will be difficult to stay on top of using cleanup scripts, since the ICE Subproject API will be used heavily in the following month to insert thousands of NCCR's FY 2002 subproject records. The API may also be used by other IC's, who are currently looking into using the API and may be prepared to do so in the near future.

**Decision:** Approved for Sept. 13

**Action Items:**

1. (Tim Twomey, Scarlett Gibb) Verify timing and content of maintenance releases in September.

W1	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
----	--------------	---------------	-----------------	----------------------	----------------

	Vickie Fadeley	FSR	FSR Supplementary Specification	High	Walk-in
	<p><b>Request:</b> Use Case Specification: FSR Supplementary Specification requires a change for the FSR Actor Role. Currently, FSR Actors are NOT permitted to update Box 13. (Authorized Official, Name, Title, Telephone) in the Financial Status Report entry form. The FSR Actors are entering the correct information in Box. 12 Remarks and OFMDE Actors are re-entering the information in Box 13.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Pending Approval</p> <p><b>Action Item:</b> 1. (Mike Wilson) Determine if the development effort of 10 hours can be absorbed without affecting the schedule and report back to the CCB via email.</p>				
	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Steve Fitzgerald	TA	Requirement Change	High	W2
W2	<p><b>Request:</b> The following requirement will be deferred to accommodate the addition of the requirement submitted and approved last week having to do with a change to the payback calculation. The following item can be deferred: Item 6 in the current TA October Requirements document.</p> <p>Add a message to TA that is displayed to the user anytime the payback status is manually changed to closed and the system still calculates that they have a payback obligation. The message should say 'The Individual you are closing currently has a payback obligation, please contact the payback service center so an adjustment can be made. If this is not done, then the record could become re-opened.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p>				
	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Area Affected</b>	<b>Type Of Request</b>	<b>Cost/Schedule Impact</b>	<b>Document Title</b>
	Carl Newcomer	IE Edison II	Unix Environment Change	Low	W3
W3	<p><b>Request:</b> In an attempt to minimize locking experienced by the iEdison Sybase database caused by uncommitted transactions, we believe that recycling the container on a nightly basis will reduce the probability of a memory leak which may lead to the locks.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p>				