

CCB Meeting Decision Summary

Thurs., March 11, 2004
9:00 a.m.
Rockledge 1
Fifth Floor Conference Room

Requests Covered

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
E01	Pamela Williamson	SBS	Addition of cost codes for Roadmap initiatives	Low	CCB_3_11_2004_eitem1 (Emergency)
01	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Minor Enhancement (CQ15450)	High	CCB_3_11_2004_item1
02	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Minor Enhancement (CQ15447)	High	CCB_3_11_2004_item2
03	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Minor Enhancement (CQ15312)	High	CCB_3_11_2004_item3
04	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Critical Defect Fix (CQ15608)	High	CCB_3_11_2004_item4
05	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	High Defect Fix (CQ17468)	High	CCB_3_11_2004_item5
06	Tim Twomey	Peer Review	Minor Enhancement (CQ17519)	Critical	CCB_3_11_2004_item6 (Walk-In)

Request & Decision Summary

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Pamela Williamson	SBS	Addition of cost codes for Roadmap initiatives	Low	CCB_3_11_2004_eitem1
E01	<p>Request: Need to set up the following cost codes to allow time tracking with respect to the Roadmap initiatives as soon as possible. The cost codes that will be added include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Service item level 09a.02.72 Roadmap Support (for IBM analyst time) • Service item level 09b.72 Roadmap (for ADDM analyst time) • Task level 10.02.72 Roadmap Support (AC Technology only) <p>Proposed Resolution</p> <p>Set up the cost codes as described to enable the proper tracking for the Roadmap initiatives</p> <p>Decision: Approved via expedited process</p>				
	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Minor Enhancement (CQ15450)	High	CCB_3_11_2004_item1

01	<p>Request: Change the process of uploading the Summary Statement Body in Peer Review from Client Server based to Web based. Use J2EE technology.</p> <p>For details, see erapvcs\era_impacii\shared\peer review\peer review import ss use case.doc document.</p> <p>Decision: Items CCB_3_11_2004_item1 through CCB_3_11_item5 will be bundled into a single CCB item and addressed in the next Maintenance release for Peer Review.</p> <p>Actions: 1. (Jim Tucker) Assess the testing hours required to address the bundled Maintenance release for Peer Review.</p>				
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Minor Enhancement (CQ15447)	High	CCB_3_11_2004_item2
02	<p>Request: 1. Percentiled Activities Editing</p> <p>a) Make the Editing of the Percentiled Activities Block of Percentiles - Application Administration Screen (REV1930) role based. b) Users with a new assigned role should be able to edit data in that block regardless of what IC users came from. c) The New Role should be called "Percentile Activity Administrator" d) The new role must be hidden from assigning in User Admin application. e) Modify Percentile User Guide to show the usage of the new role in conjunction with the Percentile Activities Block of Percentile - Application Administration Screen (REV1930). - Note: This is to be done by PCOB (Planning, Communication, and Outreach Branch).</p> <p>2. Remove AIDs Base Building and Usage When CSR Base is built, AIDs base is built as a second step of building CSR Base. This does not need to happen anymore.</p> <p>a) Remove coding that builds AIDs base. (Do not remove actual AIDs bases (data) from the database). b) Remove Checks at the score release time that checks whether applications need to be Percentiled against AIDs base. c) Leave the AIDs check box on the Report Banner Screen for Percentile Reports, so that historical AIDs bases can be reported on.</p> <p>Note: As part of design modifications please add an appendix to the existing CDR Document. Change the contents of the existing document only to refer to the Appendix.</p> <p>Decision: Items CCB_3_11_2004_item1 through CCB_3_11_item5 will be bundled into a single CCB item and addressed in the next Maintenance release for Peer Review.</p> <p>Actions: 1. (Jim Tucker) Assess the testing hours required to address the bundled Maintenance release for Peer Review.</p>				
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Minor Enhancement (CQ15312)	High	CCB_3_11_2004_item3
03	<p>Request: Current logic in the percentile "Create New SRG Round" procedure is to use activity codes for each SRG base from the previous round's base as well as the activity code(s) used for the IC's IC BASE. Since CSR now creates SRG bases for fellowships only, they have requested that the R01 activity used in the CSR base not automatically be included in these fellowship bases, which requires the user to manually remove the R01 activity code from each individual base. The cursor prcntl_base_activity_codes_c in rev_dbms_prCNTL_pkg.create_new_srg_round_proc needs to be changed to remove the UNION in the SELECT statement.</p> <p>Decision: Items CCB_3_11_2004_item1 through CCB_3_11_item5 will be bundled into a single CCB item and addressed in the next Maintenance release for Peer Review.</p> <p>Actions: 1. (Jim Tucker) Assess the testing hours required to address the bundled Maintenance release for Peer Review.</p>				

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	Critical Defect Fix (CQ15608)	High	CCB_3_11_2004_item4
04	<p>Request: When Previewing Percentiles in Peer Review Enter Scores Screen, the code logic changes the irg_recom_code several times. Turns out, there is an auditing in the status calculation program that records every status change into appl_status_history_t table.</p> <p>appl_status_history_t information is reported in Commons on PI Status Screen and PIs are seeing multiple statuses that should not be there.</p> <p>We need to fix this so that when Users preview scores via Enter Scores Screen in Peer Review, system does not change statuses many times (or cleans up appl_status_history_t after processing).</p> <p>Decision: Items CCB_3_11_2004_item1 through CCB_3_11_item5 will be bundled into a single CCB item and addressed in the next Maintenance release for Peer Review.</p> <p>Actions: 1. (Jim Tucker) Assess the testing hours required to address the bundled Maintenance release for Peer Review.</p>				
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Mark Siegert	Peer Review	High Defect Fix (CQ17468)	High	CCB_3_11_2004_item5
05	<p>Request: When Roster for Workgroup is created in CM and then in Peer Review - Assign Reviewers Screen (REV1500) user tries to import that Roster to the List of Reviewers, it does not work.</p> <p>There is no error message, system goes through motions, but List of Reviewers remains empty.</p> <p>This affects both Peer Review and IAR, because now List of Reviewers is not available on the Control Center for the SRA/GTAs to enable reviewers.</p> <p>Decision: Items CCB_3_11_2004_item1 through CCB_3_11_item5 will be bundled into a single CCB item and addressed in the next Maintenance release for Peer Review.</p> <p>Actions: 1. (Jim Tucker) Assess the testing hours required to address the bundled Maintenance release for Peer Review.</p>				
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type of Request	User Impact	Document Title
	Tim Twomey	Peer Review	Minor Enhancement (CQ17519)	Critical	CCB_3_11_2004_item6 (Walk-In)
06	<p>Request: Because of virus threats, NIH is stripping any .zip attachments to emails. Released summary statements are zipped in a nightly procedure that sends the attachment to the appropriate IC's central email box. A workaround has been decided upon after collaboration with CIT that will allow the zipped summary statement files to pass into the NIH Exchange server and be delivered to the recipient. The extension n the zip file needs to be changed to ".gz" to avoid the automatic file stripping and default to WINZIP when the file is opened. Please modify the procedure that names the zipped summary statements that are sent to the IC's central email address to change the extension to ".gz".</p> <p>Decision: Denied. The CCB decided that renaming the .zip file with a new extension was an interim fix and the cost was higher than the benefit/risk. The decision was made to instruct users on the use of the ICStore application for obtaining Summary Statements. As a long term solution, eRA would explore the use of other types of compression files.</p> <p>Action: 1. (Scarlett Gibb) Send notification to recipients of the zipped summary statements stating that the emails being sent by the eRA System containing the zipped summary statements are not being delivered due to security restrictions put in place by CIT to address virus concerns. Users should be instructed on how to obtain the desired information through ICStore.</p>				