

# CCB Decision Summary

Thurs., October 7, 2004  
9:00a.m.  
Rockledge 1  
Fifth Floor Conference Room

## Requests covered

Submitted To CCB by	Request Title (Task Headline)	System / Subsystem	User Impact (Severity)	Request ID	Request Status (Task State)
Chanath Ratnanather	Roadmap changes to NGA	IMPAC II / GM		CQERA00017880	CCB Approved
Vickie Fadeley	IC ADMIN 1.2 - Implement checklists	J2EE Internal / IC Admin	3 - High	CQERA00019217	CCB Approved
Michael Goodman	Grant Folder Maintenance Release	J2EE Internal / Grant Folder		CQERA00021117	CCB Approved
Al Damico	Baseline eRA Configuration Management Plan	eRA Tools / ClearQuest		CQERA00021126	CCB Approved
Maria Koshy	User unable to enter inclusion data in new form	IMPAC II/ Pop Tracking		CQERA00021223	CCB Approved
Jennifer Flach	eXchange reporting and monitoring utility defects and minor enhancements	CGAP/eXchange Reporting		CQERA00021199	CCB Approved

## Decision Summary

**CQERA00017880**

### 1. Summary

Task Id: CQERA00017880  
Task Order Id: TBD  
Submitted to CCB by: Chanath Ratnanather  
Date Submitted To CCB: 2004-10-05 00:00:00  
Request Description (Task Headline): Roadmap changes to NGA  
Severity:  
System: IMPAC II  
SubSystem: GM  
Target Release: GM 1.11.2.0-- NGA Mailer Report  
Target Release Date: 2004-08-07 00:00:00  
Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date): 2004-06-25 00:00:00  
CCB Approval Counts: 0

**CCB Decision: CCB Approved**

#### Notes:

Vickie Fadeley (speaking on behalf of Chanath Ratnanather) stated that this task is to correct the deployment ticket that was out there for GM 3. There was confusion about how Roadmap should be specified on the Notice of Grant Award (NGA) and Chanath has clarified requirements and put in different logic so the ticket can be completed. The target release dates need to be updated.

## 2. Description and Justification

### Description:

For all Roadmap awards where RM is dual and funded by a non-RM CAN, the following sentence must appear in all caps at the top of the first page (the letter) just below the header--below the bottom row of asterisks, but just above the grant number:

THIS AWARD IS FUNDED AS AN NIH ROADMAP INITIATIVE  
([HTTP://NIHROADMAP.NIH.GOV](http://nihroadmap.nih.gov)).

For all Roadmap Affiliated awards where RM is dual and funded by a non-RM CAN, the following sentence must appear in all caps at the top of the first page (the NGA letter) just below the header--below the bottom row of asterisks, but just above the grant number:

THIS AWARD IS ISSUED IN RESPONSE TO AN NIH ROADMAP APPLICATION  
([HTTP://NIHROADMAP.NIH.GOV](http://nihroadmap.nih.gov)).

It should not be necessary to add blank lines, the existing space can be used.

An award will be identified as Roadmap if it has a dual IC of "RM." Testing for this should include working up an award with a dual IC of "RM" and making sure the correct text appears on the NGA when previewed.

### Justification:

This ticket is related to changes in the NGA for Roadmap and Roadmap Affiliated awards. The original requirement for CQ17880 had an older version of the Roadmap and Roadmap Affiliated definitions. As a result, the changes in the NGA did not reflect the new requirements. This CCB request is to have the developers use the latest definitions for Roadmap and Roadmap Affiliated awards, as documented in "other\roadmap\Implementation of Roadmap in eRA.doc" in ClearCase.

## 3. Level of Effort

Impacted Group	LOE Time	Assessor
Analysis	0	
Development	32	Loc Vu
Integration Test	0	
Acceptance Test	0	
Operation	0	

### Overall Impact Assessment

Since code already exists, to distinguish between Roadmap and Roadmap Affiliated awards, the impact should be minimal.

## 4. Associated Defects

Defect Id	Defect Headline
-----------	-----------------

## 5. Associated Sub Tasks

Task Id	Task Headline
---------	---------------

## 6. Associated Issues

Issue Id	Issue Headline
----------	----------------

**CQERA00019217**

## 1. Summary

Task Id: CQERA00019217  
Task Order Id: 2004-8 - Maintenance 2 (ACT)  
Submitted to CCB by: Vickie Fadeley  
Date Submitted To CCB: 2004-10-05 00:00:00  
Request Description (Task Headline): IC ADMIN 1.2 - Implement checklists  
Severity: 3 - High  
System: J2EE Internal  
SubSystem: IC Admin  
Target Release: IC ADMIN 1.2.0  
Target Release Date: 2004-11-08 00:00:00  
Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date): 2004-10-13 00:00:00  
CCB Approval Counts: 0  
**CCB Decision: CCB Approved**

### Notes:

Vickie stated that this ticket has been out there since June. It has gone through the CCB process but there have been several changes since then. This task is to add some clarifications to the edit screen and to incorporate agency information for the OP/DIVs (Operating Divisions) for checklists.

## 2. Description and Justification

### Description:

IC Admin changes required in IC ADMIN for the implementation of Checklists, see related subtask 19220 for associated changes to GM/PGM.

### Justification:

Updated the enhancement ticket 17884 to reference the Edit Checklist use case, as further clarification of requirement for Agencies to be able to maintain their own checklist items.

## 4. Level of Effort

Impacted Group	LOE Time	Assessor
Analysis		
Development	240	Loc Vu
Integration Test		
Acceptance Test		
Operation		

### Overall Impact Assessment

To provide operational ability for OP/DIVs.

## 4. Associated Defects

Defect Id	Defect Headline
CQERA00017966	create combined Award Worksheet Report

## 5. Associated Sub Tasks

Task Id	Task Headline
CQERA00017884	Allow Agency-level checklist items that can be maintained by each Agency

CQERA00019220 Checklists 1.2

## 6. Associated Issues

Issue Id	Issue Headline
CQERA00015176	display questions dynamically based on type and activity code

**CQERA00021117**

## 1. Summary

Task Id:	CQERA00021117
Task Order Id:	2004-8 - Maintenance 2 (ACT)
Submitted to CCB by:	Michael Goodman
Date Submitted To CCB:	2004-10-05 00:00:00
Request Description (Task Headline):	Grant Folder Maintenance Release
Severity:	
System:	J2EE Internal
SubSystem:	Grant Folder
Target Release:	Shared Services 2.0.3
Target Release Date:	
Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date):	
CCB Approval Counts:	0
<b>CCB Decision:</b>	<b>CCB Approved</b>

### Notes:

Michael Goodman stated that this task involves correcting one cosmetic issue and two other problems associated with pulling up the wrong list of prior summary statements in the Grant Folder. The cosmetic issue is to change the label 'Prior Summary Statements' to 'Other Summary Statements' to accurately reflect the statements that are returned to the user. The second issue is that a query to pull up summary statements does not consider activity code when pulling up these statements. As a result, statements with the same serial number but different mechanisms are all pulled up, even though the records with different mechanisms are not related to the same project. The third issue is that the system does not use former grant numbers. Therefore, if there has been a change in Institute during the lifetime of the grant, the user will not be able to pull up a complete set of summary statements.

This task is expected to take 28 hours of development time. Vickie Fadeley stated that this task has not been scheduled in the maintenance list yet because maintenance is booked for the month. It will be scheduled for sometime after October.

## 2. Description and Justification

### Description:

Update Shared Services to include fixes to Grant Folder / Prior Summary Statement problems.

### Justification:

The Grant Folder displays the list of Prior Grant Numbers so that Prior Summary Statements can be displayed to the end user. The current list of prior grant numbers is a little faulty as follows:

- Not just prior, but present and future grant years are returned, making the word 'Prior' in 'Prior Summary Statements' label in the Grant Folder screen misleading. The behavior of the grant folder meets the users' requirements (they want all "other" summary statements), it is simply the label that is not quite accurate.

- For some older grants, where totally different grant applications could have same IC and Serial number (but different mechanisms), all with that IC + SerialNum combination are returned as "prior summary statements". However, since the records with different mechanisms are not related to the same project, this presents a usability problem.

c) The former grant number is not used in the algorithm to figure out prior grant numbers, thus resulting in an incomplete list of prior/other summary statements.

## 5. Level of Effort

Impacted Group	LOE Time	Assessor
Analysis		
Development		
Integration Test		
Acceptance Test		
Operation		

### Overall Impact Assessment

In order for these changes to take effect, the Shared Services container, needs to be redeployed with new documentservice.properties file.

The same changes apply to Web QT and PGM. These two systems need to be redeployed as well, in order to take advantage of these changes. The associated subtask for this ticket (#21114) will need to be assigned to WebQT and PGM tasks separately, so that the release of this change can be coordinated with the releases for those respective subsystems.

## 4. Associated Defects

Defect Id	Defect Headline
-----------	-----------------

## 5. Associated Sub Tasks

Task Id	Task Headline
CQERA00021114	Grant Folder - List of Prior Summary Statements fix
CQERA00021224	Add GM/PGM Checklist to Generic, GM, and PGM grant Folders

## 6. Associated Issues

Issue Id	Issue Headline
----------	----------------

## CQERA00021126

### 1. Summary

Task Id:	CQERA00021126
Task Order Id:	IBM Tool Support
Submitted to CCB by:	AI Damico
Date Submitted To CCB:	2004-10-01 00:00:00
Request Description (Task Headline):	Baseline eRA Configuration Management Plan
Severity:	
System:	eRA Tools
SubSystem:	ClearQuest
Target Release:	Not Scheduled
Target Release Date:	
Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date):	
CCB Approval Counts:	0
<b>CCB Decision:</b>	<b>CCB Approved</b>

**Notes:**

Al Damico stated that this task is to request a formal baselining of the eRA Configuration Management Plan that was peer reviewed on Aug. 31 and approved by NIH management.

**2. Description and Justification**

**Description:**

This is a request to baseline the eRA Configuration Management Plan, which is an IBM deliverable that Peer Reviewed on 8/31 and approved by NIH management. The document is checked into ClearCase under teams/program\_management/Change & Configuration Management/eRA Configuration Management Plan.doc as version 1.

**Justification:**

This version of the plan supports the process changes for the adoption of ClearCase as the version management tool for eRA.

**6. Level of Effort**

<b>Impacted Group</b>	<b>LOE Time</b>	<b>Assessor</b>
Analysis		
Development		
Integration Test		
Acceptance Test		
Operation		
<b>Overall Impact Assessment</b>		
N/A		

**4. Associated Defects**

<b>Defect Id</b>	<b>Defect Headline</b>
------------------	------------------------

**5. Associated Sub Tasks**

<b>Task Id</b>	<b>Task Headline</b>
----------------	----------------------

**6. Associated Issues**

<b>Issue Id</b>	<b>Issue Headline</b>
-----------------	-----------------------

**CQERA00021223**

## 1. Summary

Task Id: CQERA00021223  
Task Order Id: 2004-8 - Maintenance 2 (ACT)  
Submitted to CCB by: Maria Koshy  
Date Submitted To CCB: 2004-10-06 00:00:00  
Request Description (Task Headline): User unable to enter inclusion data in new form (pop1400)

Severity:  
System: IMPAC II  
SubSystem: POPTracking  
Target Release: POP 1.2.7.0  
Target Release Date: 2004-10-15 00:00:00  
Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date): 2004-10-08 00:00:00  
CCB Approval Counts: 0

**CCB Decision: CCB Approved**

**Notes:** This task is to correct a bug in POP Tracking that does not allow a user to enter inclusion data in a new form. Vickie Fadeley (on behalf of Maria Koshy) stated that the error is well documented, with examples of screens that show the error. Jim Tucker stated that with CCB approval, the developers can finish the task today (Oct. 7) and get it into integration testing tomorrow (Oct. 8). The task will take four hours of development time.

## 2. Description and Justification

### Description:

User from "AA" is not able to enter inclusion data for population tracking.

### Justification:

The user from the IC, 'AA' is not able to enter the inclusion data for the grant 1R01 AA 014191-01 A1. Navigating from the search screen to the New form gives the error message ( PL/SQL : numeric or value error.), due to which the fields in the new form doesn't get populated and the user is unable to do anything in the new form.

## 7. Level of Effort

Impacted Group	LOE Time	Assessor
Analysis	0	
Development	4	
Integration Test	0	
Acceptance Test	0	
Operation	0	

### Overall Impact Assessment

User will be able to enter the inclusion data.

## 4. Associated Defects

Defect Id	Defect Headline
CQERA00021220	User is unable to enter the inclusion data in the new form for 1R01 AA 014191-01 A1 grant

## 5. Associated Sub Tasks

Task Id	Task Headline
---------	---------------

## 6. Associated Issues

Issue Id	Issue Headline
----------	----------------

**CQERA00021199**

### 1. Summary

Task Id:	CQERA00021199
Task Order Id:	2004-1 - Maintenance (ACT)
Submitted to CCB by:	Jennifer Flach
Date Submitted To CCB:	2004-10-06 00:00:00
Request Description (Task Headline):	eXchange reporting and monitoring utility defects and minor enhancements

Severity:	
System:	CGAP
SubSystem:	Exchange Reporting
Target Release:	Not Scheduled
Target Release Date:	

Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date):

CCB Approval Counts: 0

**CCB Decision: CCB Approved**

#### Notes:

This task is to correct problems in an internal utility of CGAP that monitors exchanges, runs reports and sets up affiliations between Service Providers and institutions. Jennifer Flach stated that the reporting function is broken. With minor changes, it is possible to get two reports working again. Jennifer stressed the importance of pushing this task through. She noted that during the recent CGAP pilot (when electronic grant applications had to be received by Oct. 1), the team had a hard time keeping track of what was going on and responding to problems. Architects were literally scrolling through log files trying to pinpoint problems. The task requires 60 hours of development time. CCB chairperson Donna Frahm stated that this item is approved although the timeline would have to be worked out between Jennifer and Vickie.

### 2. Description and Justification

#### Description:

Addresss eXchange reporting and monitoring utility defects and minor enhancements for eCGAP support

#### Justification:

Need these reports functioning so that we can monitor activity and diagnose problems with eCGAP/eXchange to better serve the Service Providers and applicants. This is currently very time consuming and difficult without the reports.

### 8. Level of Effort

Impacted Group	LOE Time	Assessor
Analysis		
Development	60	Laheeb Alsarraf
Integration Test		
Acceptance Test		
Operation		

#### Overall Impact Assessment

Miminal. This is part of an internal utility used by the eRA Support and eCGAP team.

**4. Associated Defects**

<b>Defect Id</b>	<b>Defect Headline</b>
CQERA00021092	CGAP Reports

**5. Associated Sub Tasks**

<b>Task Id</b>	<b>Task Headline</b>
----------------	----------------------

**6. Associated Issues**

<b>Issue Id</b>	<b>Issue Headline</b>
-----------------	-----------------------